

Fact Sheet



IWPR #B302

February 2012

Paid Sick Days in New York City Would Lower Health Care Costs by Reducing Unnecessary Emergency Department Visits

In New York City, 50 percent of working New Yorkers, or approximately 1,580,000 employees, lack access to paid sick days.¹ This fact sheet reports findings from research by the Institute for Women's Policy Research (IWPR) on how increased access to paid sick days would improve both access to health care and health outcomes in New York City. The research also quantifies the savings gained by providing access to paid sick days to all workers, thereby preventing some emergency department visits in New York City.

Using data from the 2009–2010 National Health Interview Survey, IWPR estimated the size of the impact that access to paid sick days has on self-reported health status, the likelihood of delaying medical care for oneself or one's family member, and the number of visits to hospital emergency departments for oneself or one's child. After controlling for demographic variables and the presence of chronic health conditions, IWPR estimates that access to paid sick days is associated with better self-reported health, a lower likelihood of delayed medical care, and less frequent visits to hospital emergency departments (Table 1).²

If New York City workers without paid sick days were to gain access, about 48,000 emergency department visits would be prevented each year, reducing health care costs by \$39.5 million annually, including \$28.4 million in savings to public health insurance systems. The New York City government would save \$5.0 million annually due to reduced Medicaid expenditures.

Delaying medical care can aggravate chronic health conditions or increase the severity of critical health conditions or injuries; workers without paid sick days may be unable to promptly or regularly address health needs by taking time off work to go to the doctor. The results of IWPR's regression analyses suggest that the inability to obtain timely and prompt health care as a result of a lack of paid sick time may lead to both worse general health and greater reliance on emergency departments, with serious economic as well as health-related consequences.

Table 1. Predicted effects: Paid sick days (PSD) coverage and self-reported health, delayed medical care, and emergency department (ED) use, private sector

	Self-Reported Poor or Fair Health	Reported Delayed Medical Care for Self or Family Member in the Last Year	Number of ED Visits for Self or Sample Child in the Last Year
Has PSD	3.5%	14.7%	34.1 per 100 persons
No PSD	4.7%	20.6%	39.7 per 100 persons

Note: Estimates are national and control for gender, age, race/ethnicity (white, non-Hispanic; black, non-Hispanic; Hispanic; other race), education, household income, and chronic health conditions.

Source: IWPR estimates based upon analysis of the 2009 and 2010 NHIS data for adults aged 18–64 years.

Expanded access to paid sick days would be likely to reduce costs associated with emergency room care because paid sick days give people the time needed to go to the doctor during regular office hours, rather than relying on emergency room care after business hours. Previous IWPR research shows that net cost savings per event treated at a primary care physician rather than at the emergency department is about \$826.³ If New York City workers without paid sick days were to gain access, about 48,000 emergency department visits per year would be prevented, resulting in health care cost reductions of \$39.5 million annually (Table 2).

Table 2. Annual cost savings resulting from preventable emergency department (ED) visits in New York City, overall and among those receiving public health insurance

	Overall	Receiving Public Health Insurance
Preventable ED Visits	47,858	34,429
Cost Premium for ED Visit	\$826	\$826
Preventable ED Costs	\$39,509,923	\$28,423,654

Source: Institute for Women’s Policy Research estimates based on analysis of the 2009 and 2010 National Health Interview Survey, the 2009 American Community Survey, and the 2008 Medical Expenditures Panel Survey.

Health care costs for emergency department use are shared across a variety of private and public insurers, hospitals, and patients.⁴ When individuals lack insurance, hospitals frequently seek payment from the patient. Since medical expenses are one of the most common reasons that individuals file for bankruptcy,⁵ greater access to paid sick days could reduce individual bankruptcies. For individuals and families receiving public health insurance—such as Medicaid or State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)—the hospital is reimbursed by the government. IWPR estimates that public health insurance systems funded by taxpayers would save \$28.4 million annually from reduced emergency department use if paid sick days were universal in New York City (Table 2). Among those private-sector workers whose families are enrolled in public insurance in New York City, about 92 percent are enrolled in Medicaid, and New York City contributes 19 percent of the program funds for Medicaid recipients in the city.⁶ As a result of reduced Medicaid expenditures resulting from universal paid sick days, IWPR’s research predicts an annual savings of \$5.0 million for the government of New York City.

This fact sheet was prepared by Kevin Miller, Ph.D., and Claudia Williams. Funding for this fact sheet was provided by the Ford Foundation, the Annie E. Casey Foundation, and the Open Society Foundations.

For more information on IWPR reports or membership, please call (202) 785-5100, e-mail iwpr@iwpr.org, or visit www.iwpr.org.

[The Institute for Women's Policy Research \(IWPR\)](http://www.iwpr.org) conducts rigorous research and disseminates its findings to address the needs of women, promote public dialogue, and strengthen families, communities, and societies. The Institute works with policymakers, scholars, and public interest groups to design, execute, and disseminate research that illuminates economic and social policy issues affecting women and their families, and to build a network of individuals and organizations that conduct and use women-oriented policy research. IWPR's work is supported by foundation grants, government grants and contracts, donations from individuals, and contributions from organizations and corporations. IWPR is a 501 (c) (3) tax-exempt organization that also works in affiliation with the women's studies and public policy programs at The George Washington University.

¹Rankin, Nancy. 2012. "Still Sick in the City." Community Service Society of New York. <http://cssny.org/userimages/downloads/StillSickInTheCityUnheardThird2011.pdf>

The number of private-sector employees in New York City was calculated by IWPR using data from the Community Service Society's Unheard Third survey, the New York Department of Labor, and the 2010 American Community Survey. The Unheard Third survey includes only New York City residents as well as public-sector workers; the proposed 2012 paid sick days law would apply only to private-sector employees in New York City.

² Table 1 is replicated from Miller, Williams, and Yi 2011 (see note 3 below), Table 1, page 7; for additional methodological details, please see the relevant sections of this publication. These effects occurred for individuals regardless of health insurance coverage status.

³ Miller, Kevin, Claudia Williams, and Youngmin Yi. 2011. *Paid Sick Days and Health: Cost Savings from Reduced Emergency Department Visits*. Institute for Women's Policy Research. Publication No. B301. <http://www.iwpr.org/publications/pubs/paid-sick-days-and-health-cost-savings-from-reduced-emergency-department-visits>

⁴Families USA. 2005. *Paying a Premium: The Added Cost of Care for the Uninsured*. Publication No. 05-101. Washington, DC: Families USA Foundation.

⁵David U. Himmelstein, Elizabeth Warren, Deborah Thorne, and Steffie Woolhandler. 2005. "MarketWatch: Illness and Injury As Contributors to Bankruptcy." *Health Affairs*. (February): W5-63 - W5-64.

⁶ Data on the proportion of public insurance recipients in New York City enrolled in Medicaid was derived by IWPR from National Health Interview Survey data and enrollment data from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services for the state of New York. Data on proportion of Medicaid costs borne by New York City was provided by the Independent Budget Office of New York City.